

REMARKS

In the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103 for being obvious. Claims 16-20 remain in the application.

The rejection for obviousness was based primarily on Iwasaki in combination with Heinrich and Huber. The Examiner asserted that the teachings of Heinrich and Huber concerning labelling were obvious to combine with Iwasaki. Claim 16 has been amended to require that the labelling include information of a type that applicant has not been able to find in any of these references. Accordingly, applicant submits that even the combination of these references does not render applicant's claim 16 obvious.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Applicant believes the application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully solicited. Please contact the below-signed if there are any issues regarding this communication or otherwise concerning the current application.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By: 
James L. Clingan, Jr.
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 30,163
Telephone: (512) 996-6839
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854
Email: Jim.Clingan@Motorola.com